Attorney Docket: 223/279

## **DECLARATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post of	ffice address ar	nd citizenship are as st	ated below next to my nam	ie.	
inventor (if plural nan	nes are listed b		name is listed below) or an matter which is claimed and Fabric		
the specification of w	hich				
(Check One):X	is attached he was filed on _	ereto. February 18, 1997			a:
	Application S	erial No. <u>08/801,471</u>			
	and was ame	nded on (if applicable)			
benefits under Title certificate listed below having a filing date be	35, United Star v and have also efore that of the	tes Code, § 119 of a identified below any fo e application on which		r patent or i	nventor'
Total Control of the	No.	Country	Date of Filing	Yes	No
U				Tes	140
11	100	111			
	•				
	ofic and a Tisia	25 United States Cod	s 5 120 of any United State		n/a\ liata
I hereby claim the ben	ient under Title				

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Date of Filing	Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned
		<u> </u>



#### 37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information when the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by ss 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filling or prosecution

- the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in;

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application;

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with theinventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

#### 35 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

(a)

thereof by the applicant for patent, or the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year (b) prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or he has abandoned the invention, or (c) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns (d) in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining (g) priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was

# ifirst to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other. 35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

## 35 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign county which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application in this county is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for a patent for an invention which has been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

### 35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

#### 35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.



I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

## SIGNATURE(S)

Full name of sole or first inventor STUART B. BERMAN	
Inventor's signature Stuart B. Bern	
Date	Country of Citizenship U.S.A.
Residence 2010 Vista Caudal, Newport Beach, California 92660	<u>L</u>
Post Office Address Same as Residence	
·	

## **POWER OF ATTORNEY**

Arcxel Technologies. Inc., assignee(s) of the application for United States Letters Patent for

Fibre Cha	innel Switching	<u> </u>				
	Damon		(Title)			
by <u>Stuart B</u>	. Berman		(Inventors)			
	executed on ev	ven date herewith, or		·		
<u>X</u>	having Serial l	No. <u>08/801.471</u> , filed _	February 18, 1997	_,		
copy of the	assignment of v	which is attached hereto,	do(es) hereby appoi	int as attorneys of rec	ord with full power	of
		prosecute this application				
connected the	rewith:					
The reg 4700, L	istered attorneys lister os Angeles, Californi	d below and members of or associa 90071, Registration No. 11,611	ciates in the law firm of I , whose members are all	LYON & LYON, 635 West admitted to the Bar of the	Fifth Street, Suite State of California:	
toland N. Smoot	Reg. No. 18,718	J. Donald McCarthy	Reg. No. 25,119	Mary S. Consalvi	Reg. No. 32,212	
Conrad R. Solum, Jr. ames W. Geriak	Reg. No. 20,467 Reg. No. 20,233	John M. Benassi James H. Shalek	Reg. No. 27,483 Reg. No. 29,749	Lois M. Kwasigroch Lawrence R. LaPorte	Reg. No. 35,579 Reg. No. 38,948	
lobert M. Taylor, Jr.	Reg. No. 19,848	Allan W. Jansen	Reg. No. 29,395	Robert C. Laurenson	Reg. No. 34,206	
amuel B. Stone	Reg. No. 19,297	Robert W. Dickerson	Reg. No. 29,914	Carol A. Schneider	Reg. No. 34,923	
Douglas E. Olson	Reg. No. 22,798	Roy L. Anderson	Reg. No. 30,240	Hope E. Melville	Reg. No. 34,874	
lobert E. Lyon	Reg. No. 24,171	David B. Murphy	Reg. No. 31,125	Richard J. Warburg Michael J. Wise	Reg. No. 32,327	
ames J. Short	Reg. No. 25,922	James C. Brooks  Jeffrey M. Olson	Reg. No. 29,898 Reg. No. 30,790	Kurt T. Mulville	Reg. No. 34,047 Reg. No. 37,194	
lob <u>ert.</u> C. Weiss tichard E. Lyon, Jr.	Reg. No. 24,939 Reg. No. 26,300	Steven D. Hemminger	Reg. No. 30,755	James P. Brogan	Reg. No. 35,833	
ohn D; McConaghy	Reg. No. 26,773	Jerrold B. Reilly	Reg. No. 32,293	Corrine M. Freeman	Reg. No. 37,625	
Villiam C. Steffin	Reg. No. 26,811	John A. Rafter	Reg. No. 31,653	John C. Kappos	Reg. No. 37,861	
oe A. Bloomberg	Reg. No. 26,605	Kenneth H. Ohriner	Reg. No. 31,646	Kenneth S. Roberts Charles C. Fowler	Reg. No. 38,283	
1.1				Charles C. Powier	Reg. No. 39,675	
<b>14</b>						
		INON O INON	•			
Aggress corre	spondence to:	LYON & LYON				
<b>I</b>		Attention: David B. M	urphy			
	633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4700					
8						
		Los Angeles, Californ	ia 90071-2066		•	
<b>.</b>	(213) 489-1600					
# °	,	(225) 407 1000				

I, the undersigned, declare that I am the (an) assignee of the above-identified application or, if the assignee is a comporation, partnership or other association, I am authorized to make this appointment on behalf of the assignee. The above-identified assignee is the owner of this application by reason of an assignment being filed herewith for recordation in the Patent Office on. In accordance with 37 CFR § 3.373(b), I certify that I have reviewed all documents in the chain of title, and to the best of my knowledge, all right, title, and interest is in the above-identified assignee, and I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Full Name of Assignee	Arcxel Technologies, Inc.	
Post Office Address	2691 Richter Avenue, Suite 106, Irvine, California 92714	
Signature of Dec Assignee	Stuart & Bern	Date 7/18/97

	4	ø
į	Í	
	ŧ	
mari	1	
Ĩ	-	-
5	1	Time.
and a		
	į	
3		
z		
Hand!		
Ting.	- Trans	
The unlin	Į	1
The will ruth	The street	
"The willin rullin	1	- The state of the

Applicant or Patentee: Stuart B. Berman

Serial or Patent No.	.: 08/801,471	
Filed or Issued: For:	February 18, 1997 FIBRE CHANNEL SWITCHING FABRIC	
VE	RIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIN ATUS (37 CFR 1.9(f) AND 1.27(c)) - SMALL B	
l hereby declare	e that I am	
	the owner of the small business concern identified below	<i>ı</i> :
$\checkmark$	an official of the small business concern empowered to a below:	act on behalf of the concern identified
NAME OF CO	NCERN ARCXEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
ADDRESS OF	CONCERN2691 Richter Avenue, Suite 106, Irvin	e, California 92714
of employees of persons employ and (2) concerns power to control  I hereby declare concern identifies	of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purpose the business concern is the average over the previous fixed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each sare affiliates of each other when either, directly or indired the other, or a third-party or parties controls or has the post that rights under contract or law have been conveyed, to ed above with regard to the invention, entitled	scal year of the concern of the hof the pay periods of the fiscal year ctly, one concern controls or has the ower to control both.  and remain with the small business
	Fibre Channel Switching Fabric	- II-AAFA
by inventor(s)	Stuart B. Berman	
described in		
<u>_x</u> _	the specification filed herewith the application serial no. <u>08/801,471</u> , filed <u>February 1</u> patent no, issued	18, 1997

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below\* and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who could not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 CFR 1.9(c) or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e).

\*NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27).

NAME		
ADDRESSIndividual	Small Business Concern	Nonprofit Organization
NAME	•	
ADDRESS Individual	Small Business Concern	Nonprofit Organization
of entitlement to small entity s		of any change in status resulting in loss aying, the earliest of the issue fee or any ess entity is no longer appropriate. (37
on information and belief are knowledge that willful false staunder Section 1001 of Title 18	believed to be true; and further that thes atements and the like so made are puni	shable by fine or imprisonment, or both, ch willful false statements may jeopardize
	STUART B. BERMAN	
	S <u>CHIEF TECHNOUSEY</u> NING <u>2010 VISTA CAUDAD</u>	
	NEW PORT BEACH, CA	
SIGNATURE Start	+ Res - DATE 7/18/9	